

1 [COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE]
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 WAYMO LLC,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
OTTOMOTTO LLC,

22 Defendants.

16 CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

17 **FOURTH JOINT STIPULATION AND**
~~(PROPOSED)~~ ORDER TO EXTEND
THE DEADLINE UNDER PARAGRAPH
15 OF THE INTERIM MODEL
PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a), and upon the accompanying declaration of Meredith R. Dearborn, dated February 1, 2019 (“Dearborn Decl.”), Plaintiff Waymo LLC and Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC hereby stipulate and agree to the following one-hundred-and-twenty-day extension, from February 6, 2019 until June 6, 2019, of the deadline to comply with the requirements of Paragraph 15 of the Interim Model Protective Order:

1. On March 16, 2017, the Court ordered that the parties be bound by the Interim Model Protective Order (the “Protective Order”), a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to the accompanying declaration of Meredith R. Dearborn. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 3.

2. Paragraph 15 of the Protective Order provides that, “[w]ithin 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in paragraph 4, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material,” and further requires each Receiving Party to certify in writing by the 60-day deadline (1) that all of the material subject to the Protective Order was returned or destroyed, and (2) that it has not retained copies, abstracts, compilations, or summaries of material subject to the Protective Order. *See* Dearborn Decl., ¶ 4 and Ex. A.

3. The parties settled this lawsuit, and a final disposition was entered on February 9, 2018. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 5.

4. Each Receiving Party, as defined by Paragraph 2.17 of the Protective Order, had sixty days from that final disposition, until April 10, 2018, to comply with the requirements of Paragraph 15. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 5 and Ex. A.

5. On April 6, 2018, the parties filed a stipulation and proposed order extending the deadline to comply with Paragraph 15 until June 11, 2018, citing the pendency of related matters that impose document preservation obligations on the parties that conflict with Paragraph 15’s requirements. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 6. The parties further indicated that they “might hereafter request additional time if those obligations have not been satisfied.” *Id.*

6. The Court approved the stipulation and signed the proposed order on April 9, 2018. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 7 and Ex. B.

7. On June 7, 2018, the parties filed a second stipulation and proposed order extending

1 the deadline to comply with Paragraph 15 for another 120 days, until October 9, 2018. *See*
2 Dearborn Decl. ¶ 8.

3 8. The Court approved the stipulation and entered the proposed order on June 11,
4 2018. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 8 and Ex. C.

5 9. On October 9, 2018, the parties filed a third stipulation and proposed order
6 extending the deadline to comply with Paragraph 15 for another 120 days, until February 6, 2019.
7 *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 8.

8 10. The Court approved the stipulation and entered the proposed order on October 9,
9 2018. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 9 and Ex. D.

10 11. The parties agree that additional time is still needed to satisfy conflicting
11 preservation obligations, and that the parties may still hereafter request additional time if those
12 obligations have not been satisfied. *See* Dearborn Decl. ¶ 10.

13 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**

14
15 DATED: February 4, 2019 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

16
17 By: /s/ David A. Perlson
18 David A. Perlson
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff WAYMO LLC

20 DATED: February 4, 2019 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

21
22 By: /s/ Meredith R. Dearborn
23 Meredith R. Dearborn
24 Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC. and OTTOMOTTO LLC

25 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26
27 DATED: February 5, 2019

28
Honorable William Alsup

1 **SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the
3 filing of this document has been obtained from any other signatory to this document.

4
5 DATED: February 4, 2019

By: /s/ Meredith R. Dearborn

6 Meredith R. Dearborn
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28